

The Growing escalation of the Arab-Israeli conflict in Lebanon and the Prospect for Peace in the Middle East

Abdulrasheed Abdulyakeen and Nurain Abayomi Mumuni
Department of Political Science, Al-Qalam University, Katsina. Katsina State.
Email: abdulrasheedabdulyakeen90@gmail.com;
+2348033628063

Abstract

The growing escalation of the Arab-Israeli conflict in Lebanon represents a renewed and dangerous chapter in Middle Eastern geopolitics, with serious implications for regional peace and security. Historically rooted in ideological, territorial, and religious disputes, the resurgence of hostilities particularly between Israeli forces and Hezbollah has heightened fears of a broader regional war. Despite numerous international peace efforts and ceasefire agreements, the persistent volatility in Lebanon underscores a critical research gap: the lack of effective, sustainable strategies to manage sub-state actors and proxy dynamics within the larger Arab-Israeli conflict. This study aims to examine the underlying factors driving the recent intensification of conflict in Lebanon and assess its impact on the broader prospects for peace in the Middle East. The research adopts a qualitative methodology, utilizing document analysis of policy papers, conflict databases, UN reports, media accounts, and expert interviews. A regional conflict theory framework was applied to analyze the role of external actors, such as Iran, the United States, and Gulf States, and their influence on the evolving conflict. Findings reveal that the escalation is fueled by a combination of Hezbollah's militarization, Israeli pre-emptive strategies, and the vacuum created by Lebanon's internal political paralysis. Furthermore, the conflict has deepened sectarian divisions and weakened diplomatic channels, thus undermining regional peace efforts. The study concludes that without addressing the root causes of Lebanon's internal instability and the external power struggles that exploit it, peace in the Middle East will remain elusive. It recommends renewed multilateral diplomacy, stronger UN peacekeeping mandates, and inclusive negotiations involving non-state actors as essential steps toward sustainable peace in the region.

Keywords: Middle East, Israeli-Palestinian Relations, Regional Stability, Geopolitical Tensions, Lebanese Sovereignty.

Introduction

The Arab-Israeli conflict, a central issue in Middle Eastern geopolitics, has witnessed various phases of violence, diplomacy, and attempted peace settlements. The conflict, which began in the mid-20th century, involves complex historical, territorial, and political disputes between Israel and its Arab neighbors, particularly the Palestinians. Over the years, despite several peace efforts, the conflict has remained largely unresolved, contributing to instability in the Middle East. Among the most concerning developments in recent years is the escalation of tensions between Israel and Lebanon, a situation that has profound implications for regional security, political alliances, and global diplomacy. The growing escalation of the Arab-Israeli conflict in Lebanon is particularly alarming, as Lebanon's political and military landscape is intricately tied to the broader Arab-Israeli dispute. Hezbollah, a powerful Lebanese political and military group, has been engaged in several conflicts with Israel, and their hostilities have periodically sparked regional confrontations. (Makinde, 2018). These tensions are compounded by the political fragmentation and economic challenges Lebanon faces, along with its complex relationships with regional powers such as Iran, Syria, and Saudi Arabia. The role of Hezbollah as an Iranian-backed militia has drawn Lebanon deeper into the orbit of the regional struggle, significantly affecting Lebanon's sovereignty and the prospects for peace in the Middle East. This research seeks to explore the growing escalation of the Arab-Israeli conflict in Lebanon, focusing on the role of Hezbollah, the involvement of Iran and Syria, and the broader implications for regional peace. By examining the various historical, political, and military dynamics at play, this study aims to assess the potential pathways to peace in the Middle East, considering both the prospects for de-escalation in Lebanon and the broader resolution of the Arab-Israeli conflict.

The central research problem addressed in this study is the growing escalation of the Arab-Israeli conflict in Lebanon and its impact on the prospects for peace in the Middle East. As the hostilities between Israel and Hezbollah intensify, it becomes increasingly difficult to envision a stable and lasting peace in Lebanon or the broader region. The involvement of external actors, particularly Iran and Syria, further complicates the situation, as these countries have historically supported Hezbollah and other militant factions in the region. The growing militarization of the Lebanese conflict has the potential to trigger larger regional confrontations, with the possibility of Israel and Hezbollah engaging in direct, large-scale warfare. Additionally, Lebanon's internal political instability, marked by sectarian divisions and a weak central government, hinders the country's ability to assert control over Hezbollah's actions and engage in any meaningful peace process with Israel. These factors contribute to an environment where the prospects for achieving a comprehensive peace agreement in the Middle East seem increasingly distant. Moreover, the Arab-Israeli peace process, which has stagnated for years, is being further undermined by the escalating tensions in Lebanon. The focus on the Palestinian-Israeli issue often overshadows the broader dynamics of regional security, particularly

the roles of Iran, Syria, and Lebanon as significant actors in the conflict. This adds complexity to the search for peace, as any attempt at resolution must account for the different, sometimes conflicting, interests of regional powers.

While numerous studies have analyzed the Arab-Israeli conflict, Lebanon's role and the growing escalation of tensions in the context of the Arab-Israeli struggle are under explored. There is a need for more focused research on the intersection of Lebanon's internal dynamics and its external conflicts with Israel, particularly in the context of Hezbollah's increasing influence. Much of the existing literature tends to either focus on broader regional trends or Palestinian-Israeli peace processes, without fully addressing how Lebanon's military confrontations with Israel intersect with these efforts. Additionally, the involvement of Iran and Syria as key players supporting Hezbollah adds a geopolitical dimension that has not been fully integrated into existing peace-building frameworks. The proxy war aspect, where Lebanon acts as a battleground for the broader Iranian-Saudi rivalry, adds further complexity to the pursuit of peace in the Middle East. This gap in the literature highlights the need for an in-depth examination of how Lebanese politics, Hezbollah's role, and the intervention of foreign powers affect the regional balance of power and the future prospects for a comprehensive Middle Eastern peace. Understanding these dynamics is essential to developing effective diplomatic strategies and peace-building initiatives that can address not only the Palestinian issue but also the broader regional conflict that has long impeded stability in the Middle East.

Historical Context of the Arab-Israeli Conflict in Lebanon

The Arab-Israeli conflict is one of the most complex and longstanding disputes in modern history (Heller, 2010; Mc Cullum, 2007). While the main theater of this conflict has traditionally been between Israel and its neighboring Arab states, Lebanon has played a crucial, albeit sometimes overlooked, role. The historical context of the Arab-Israeli conflict in Lebanon is deeply rooted in both regional geopolitics and the internal dynamics of Lebanon itself, shaped by a combination of external interventions and internal sectarian struggles. The origins of the Arab-Israeli conflict date back to the early 20th century, with the culmination of tensions between Jewish and Arab populations over the land of Palestine, then part of the Ottoman Empire (Murden, 2000). Following the end of World War I and the subsequent dissolution of the Ottoman Empire, Britain took control of Palestine under a League of Nations mandate. The Jewish diaspora, encouraged by the Zionist movement, began migrating to Palestine in increasing numbers, fueling tensions with the local Arab population. The situation escalated after World War II, culminating in the 1947 United Nations partition plan, which proposed dividing Palestine into separate Jewish and Arab states. According to (Nakhleh, 1982), the Jewish community accepted the plan, but it was rejected by the Arab states, which led to the 1948 Arab-Israeli War upon the declaration of the state of Israel. The war resulted in the displacement of hundreds of thousands of Palestinian Arabs, many of whom fled to neighboring countries, including Lebanon. Lebanon, which had gained its independence from France in 1943, initially maintained a neutral stance but was significantly impacted by the influx of Palestinian refugees (Fisk, 2016). The Palestinian Liberation Organization (PLO), established in the 1960s to represent the Palestinian people and their goal of creating an independent Palestinian state, became increasingly active in Lebanon after being forced out of Jordan in 1970 following Black September. The establishment of Palestinian refugee camps in southern Lebanon and the growing presence of the PLO began to intertwine Lebanon's fate with the ongoing Arab-Israeli conflict.

The Lebanese Civil War, which lasted from 1975 to 1990, was a major turning point in Lebanon's involvement in the Arab-Israeli conflict. The war was triggered by a combination of internal sectarian tensions, struggles for political control, and the growing presence of Palestinian militants, particularly the PLO (Bickerton and Klausner, 2007). By the early 1970s, the PLO had set up bases in southern Lebanon, from where it launched operations against Israel. The Israeli government saw this as a direct threat to its northern border, leading to repeated cross-border skirmishes. In 1975, Lebanon descended into a brutal civil war between various sectarian factions, including Christian Maronites, Shiite Muslims, Sunni Muslims, and Druze groups, compounded by the presence of the Palestinian militias. The war was characterized by widespread violence, foreign interventions, and shifting alliances. Syria became involved on the side of the Lebanese Muslim factions, while Israel intervened militarily to protect its interests and to counteract the growing influence of the PLO (International Crisis Group, 2019). In 1982, Israel launched a major military operation, Operation Peace for Galilee, aimed at pushing the PLO out of southern Lebanon and neutralizing Palestinian militants. The Israeli invasion and occupation of southern Lebanon led to the creation of a vacuum of power in the region. In response to the Israeli presence, the Lebanese Shiite group Hezbollah was formed in 1982 with the support of Iran and Syria, marking the start of Hezbollah's active role in the Arab-Israeli conflict. Hezbollah adopted an anti-Israel ideology, positioning itself as a resistance movement against the Israeli occupation of Lebanon, and it began waging asymmetric warfare against Israeli forces.

Following Israel's withdrawal from Lebanon in 2000, after 18 years of occupation in southern Lebanon, Hezbollah emerged as the dominant force in the region. Unlike other Lebanese political groups, Hezbollah maintained its military wing, refusing to disarm after the Israeli withdrawal. Hezbollah's political and military influence in Lebanon grew, as it portrayed itself as the sole successful resistance against Israeli aggression (Gordon, 2018). In 2006, Hezbollah engaged in another bloody conflict with Israel, known as the 2006 Lebanon War. The conflict was sparked by a cross-border attack by Hezbollah, in which Israeli soldiers were kidnapped, leading Israel to launch an intensive military response. The war lasted for 34 days, and although Hezbollah suffered heavy casualties, it achieved its main goal of resisting Israeli incursions and maintaining its military presence

in southern Lebanon. Khalaf (2022) opines that, this outcome bolstered Hezbollah's stature not only in Lebanon but across the Arab world as a symbol of resistance to Israeli power. Hezbollah's military strength and the support it receives from Iran and Syria have made it a significant player in the Arab-Israeli conflict. Its stance on Israel has remained uncompromising: it does not recognize the legitimacy of the Jewish state and calls for its eventual destruction. Hezbollah's military operations against Israel, which include cross-border rocket fire and other attacks, are framed as part of the broader Arab-Israeli conflict, making Lebanon a crucial front in this ongoing struggle.

According to (Makinde, 2018), Lebanon's involvement in the Arab-Israeli conflict is not only shaped by external actors like Israel, Iran, and Syria but also by the fragile internal dynamics of the country. Lebanon's political system is based on a sectarian power-sharing agreement, which has led to deep internal divisions, particularly between Shia, Sunni, and Christian factions. Hezbollah's growing power has heightened tensions between these groups, especially as Hezbollah's military capabilities and political influence have expanded, challenging Lebanon's sovereignty. Iran and Syria play critical roles in shaping Lebanon's stance in the Arab-Israeli conflict, particularly through their support of Hezbollah. Both countries have used Lebanon as a proxy battleground in their own struggle with Israel and the West, with Syria historically playing a role as an intermediary and Iran providing Hezbollah with weapons and financial backing (Gordon, 2018; Davis, 2020). The involvement of these regional powers has further entangled Lebanon in the broader regional struggle for hegemony between Sunni and Shia factions, which complicates any potential resolution to the Lebanese front of the Arab-Israeli conflict. In recent years, Lebanon's role in the Arab-Israeli conflict has become increasingly complex. While Hezbollah maintains its position as a significant military actor, other geopolitical developments, such as the Israeli-Palestinian peace process, the shifting alliances between Arab states and Israel (e.g., the Abraham Accords), and the ongoing tensions in Syria, have altered the regional landscape. While Lebanon's sovereignty is formally recognized, its internal divisions and external dependencies mean that its ability to mediate or play an independent role in peace efforts is severely limited. Despite these challenges, Lebanon remains a strategic point of contention in the Arab-Israeli conflict. The growing presence of Iranian influence, the continued militarization of Hezbollah, and the instability caused by the Lebanese economic collapse and political paralysis continue to pose serious challenges to peace in the Middle East.

The Emergence of Hezbollah and Its Role in Lebanon-Israel Relations

The emergence of Hezbollah (Arabic for "Party of God") in the early 1980s marked a significant shift in the Arab-Israeli conflict, particularly in Lebanon, where the group became a central actor. Hezbollah's formation and its subsequent rise to power have had profound implications for Lebanon-Israel relations and the broader geopolitical landscape of the Middle East. This section explores the origins, development, and role of Hezbollah in the Lebanese-Israeli context, with particular emphasis on the group's ideological underpinnings, military strategy, and political influence. Hezbollah was founded in 1982, during the Israeli invasion of Lebanon, which was known as Operation Peace for Galilee. The invasion aimed to oust the Palestine Liberation Organization (PLO) from southern Lebanon, where it had established a stronghold after being forced out of Jordan during the Black September of 1970. In the wake of Israel's invasion, the Lebanese Shiite population, particularly in the southern region, faced significant hardships, including Israeli occupation and attacks on their villages. The creation of Hezbollah was deeply influenced by the Iranian Revolution of 1979, which established the Islamic Republic of Iran under Ayatollah Khomeini. Hezbollah's early members were inspired by Khomeini's revolutionary ideas and sought to establish an Islamic state in Lebanon modeled after Iran's theocratic system. Hezbollah received financial and military support from Iran, as well as logistical and strategic backing from Syria, which had a longstanding interest in influencing Lebanon. The group's primary aim in its early years was to resist the Israeli occupation of southern Lebanon and to establish an Islamic resistance movement that would oppose not only Israel but also what it saw as Lebanon's corrupt political system. Hezbollah's early actions included bombings, assassinations, and militant attacks against Israeli forces in southern Lebanon. In these formative years, Hezbollah distinguished itself from other Lebanese factions by adopting a distinctly anti-Israel and anti-Western ideology, viewing Israel as an illegitimate colonial state and asserting the right of resistance against Israeli occupation.

According to (Abdel-Kader, 2007), Hezbollah's ideological foundation is rooted in Shia Islam and the teachings of Ayatollah Khomeini, whose vision of Islamic governance had a profound influence on the group's leaders. The movement embraced Khomeinism, a political ideology that calls for the creation of an Islamic state governed by Islamic law (Sharia), and it views the Islamic Revolution in Iran as a model for the region. The group's founding document, the "Open Letter" (1985), laid out Hezbollah's commitment to resisting Israeli occupation and promoting the establishment of an Islamic state in Lebanon (Ayney, 2018). Hezbollah called for the creation of a "just society", which it believed could only be achieved by eradicating Western imperialism, especially American influence in the region, and by resisting Israel's military presence in Lebanon. This ideological stance positioned Hezbollah in direct opposition to both the Lebanese political establishment and Israel. In contrast to other Lebanese groups, Hezbollah's commitment to armed resistance against Israel remained central to its identity, even after the Israeli withdrawal from southern Lebanon in 2000. The group has portrayed itself as the defender of Lebanese sovereignty and the main force in confronting Israeli aggression. In recent years, it has also sought to position itself as a champion of Arab unity against Israel, despite its sectarian roots in the Lebanese Shia community.

Hezbollah's military strategy evolved as it became a more powerful and sophisticated force in Lebanon and the broader Middle East. The group's military wing has grown significantly in both size and capability, benefiting from substantial support from Iran, including funding, weapons, and training. Hezbollah's growing military prowess, particularly its rocket arsenal, has allowed it to engage in long-range strikes against Israeli territory, making it a significant actor in Israel's security calculations. (Heller, 2010; Barak, 2010; Bickerton and Klausner, 2007) identified some key events in Hezbollah-Israel Conflicts i.e. 2000 Israeli withdrawal which after nearly two decades of occupation in southern Lebanon, Israel withdrew its forces in May 2000, claiming the "Security Zone" along the southern border as unnecessary. Hezbollah, which had conducted a long-running campaign of attacks against Israeli forces, claimed this as a victory, calling it a "liberation" of southern Lebanon. The withdrawal bolstered Hezbollah's standing as a resistance movement not only in Lebanon but across the Arab world.

The most significant escalation between Hezbollah and Israel occurred in July 2006, following Hezbollah's capture of two Israeli soldiers in a cross-border raid. Israel launched "Operation Just Reward", an extensive military operation aimed at crushing Hezbollah's military capabilities. The conflict lasted for 34 days and resulted in thousands of casualties, particularly among Lebanese civilians, and massive destruction in Lebanon. Although Hezbollah suffered significant losses, the war ended with a United Nations-brokered ceasefire and was widely perceived as a strategic success for Hezbollah, as it had survived the Israeli onslaught and maintained its military strength. According to (Barak, 2010), the 2006 war highlighted Hezbollah's increasing military capabilities and its ability to engage in asymmetric warfare with Israel. Hezbollah's use of rocket fire, anti-tank missiles, and guerrilla tactics became emblematic of its resistance efforts. The group's ability to withstand Israeli military power has made it a symbol of defiance against Israel in the Arab world. Since the 2006 war, Hezbollah has remained a potent force in Lebanon and has continued to engage in smaller-scale attacks against Israel. While there has not been a full-scale war, tensions along the Lebanese-Israeli border remain high, with periodic flare-ups of violence and military exchanges. Hezbollah has also expanded its military operations beyond Lebanon's borders, particularly in Syria, where it has supported the regime of President Bashar al-Assad during the Syrian Civil War.

Hezbollah's military strength is matched by its increasing influence in Lebanese politics. The group transformed from a militant organization to a key political party with significant representation in the Lebanese Parliament. Over time, Hezbollah built an extensive political network that extended its influence across Lebanon, including in social services, education, and healthcare. The group has positioned itself as a protector of the Lebanese Shia community and as a political alternative to Lebanon's traditional political elite (Mc Cullum, 2007). Hezbollah's political influence was notably cemented in 2005, when it participated in the Cedar Revolution, a popular uprising that led to the withdrawal of Syrian forces from Lebanon. Despite this, Hezbollah's relationship with the Lebanese government has remained complicated. It often works with both the Lebanese army and other political factions, but its military autonomy and Iranian ties make it a contentious force within Lebanon's fragile sectarian system. Hezbollah has repeatedly used its political leverage to argue that it should not disarm, despite calls from the United Nations Security Council (UNSC) for its disbandment (Al-Kassim, 2019). Its military capabilities, while officially justified as a resistance against Israel, also complicate Lebanon's sovereignty, particularly as it operates independently of the Lebanese government. Hezbollah's role in Lebanon cannot be understood in isolation; it is part of a larger regional struggle involving major powers, including Israel, Iran, Syria, and the Arab Gulf states. Hezbollah is a key player in the Iran-Syria-Hezbollah axis, which seeks to challenge Israeli and Western influence in the region. Hezbollah's alliance with Iran has brought it significant resources, while Syria has served as a critical logistical and military support channel. In recent years, Hezbollah has also become deeply involved in the Syrian Civil War, fighting in support of Bashar al-Assad's regime, further entrenching its role in the region. Hezbollah's rise has deeply affected Lebanon-Israel relations. While Hezbollah's presence in Lebanon has made it a key actor in Israel's security concerns, it has also complicated Lebanon's ability to engage in peace talks or reconcile with Israel. The ongoing Israeli-Hezbollah tensions in southern Lebanon have led to a stalemate, with both sides unwilling to make concessions but also avoiding a full-scale war. Hezbollah's military strength and ideological commitment to resisting Israeli occupation mean that the Lebanese-Israeli conflict is unlikely to resolve peacefully in the near future.

The Role of Iran and Syria in the Hezbollah-Israel Conflict and the Broader Middle Eastern Geopolitics

The role of Iran and Syria in the development of Hezbollah and its ongoing activities is central to understanding both the Lebanese-Israeli conflict and the broader Middle Eastern geopolitics. Iran and Syria have been key external actors in supporting Hezbollah, both in terms of military and financial resources, as well as in providing strategic direction. Their involvement has shaped not only Hezbollah's military capabilities but also its political influence in Lebanon and its stance on the Arab-Israeli conflict. Iran's involvement in Hezbollah's formation and its ongoing support have been driven by both ideological and strategic considerations. Iran's revolutionary government, established in 1979 under Ayatollah Ruhollah Khomeini, sought to export the ideals of Shia Islam and the Islamic revolution throughout the region (Mc Cullum, 2007). Iran's support for Hezbollah aligns with its broader strategy of promoting Shia Islam and anti-Western resistance movements across the Middle East. Iran views Hezbollah as a crucial ally in this broader geopolitical vision, particularly as part of its efforts to challenge Israeli and American influence in the region. Iran has long considered the Lebanese Shia population an important part of its strategic sphere of influence, and Hezbollah has become a key proxy in Iran's struggle with Israel and the United States. By supporting Hezbollah, Iran gains a foothold in Lebanon, allowing it to project power in the Levant and directly threaten Israel from the north (Alkassim, 2019). Hezbollah's military capabilities also serve as an important tool in Iran's regional policy, particularly its desire to keep

Israel on the defensive. Iran's support for Hezbollah has come in the form of financial assistance, military training, and advanced weaponry. Iran has provided Hezbollah with rockets, missiles, and other military technologies that have significantly enhanced its military capabilities, especially after the 2006 Lebanon War. Hezbollah's anti-tank missiles, long-range rockets, and drone technology are believed to have been largely supplied by Iran, further complicating Israel's security calculations. Iran also plays a critical role in Hezbollah's ideological and religious training. Many of Hezbollah's top leaders have received training in Iranian religious schools, particularly in Qom, a center of Shia scholarship, where they have been indoctrinated with the principles of the Islamic Republic.

Fisk (2016) affirms that, Syria's role in Hezbollah's rise and its involvement in Lebanon's political and military landscape is also crucial. Syrian involvement has been motivated by a combination of strategic interests and ideological alliances. It has acted as an intermediary, facilitating the flow of Iranian support to Hezbollah. Syria's geographical proximity to Lebanon and its long-standing alliance with Iran have made it a key player in the Iran-Hezbollah axis. Syria has allowed Iranian weapons and resources to pass through its territory to reach Hezbollah in southern Lebanon. In addition, Syria has provided Hezbollah with training camps and logistical support, enabling the group to enhance its military capabilities. For Syria, supporting Hezbollah serves as a way to maintain its influence in Lebanon, particularly in southern Lebanon, which borders Israel. Syria's involvement in Lebanon has been longstanding, dating back to its military presence in the country during and after the Lebanese Civil War (1975-1990). Syrian control over Lebanon reached its peak in the 1990s, when Syria held significant sway over Lebanese politics through its support for Shia militias (including Hezbollah), as well as Christian and Druze factions aligned with its interests. Syria's support for Hezbollah has been framed in the context of both its anti-Israel stance and its broader rivalry with the West (Heller, 2010). For Syria, Hezbollah is not just a Lebanese group but a tool to challenge Israel and maintain regional leverage in the face of Israeli and Western hegemony. This has made Syria a critical partner for Hezbollah in its continued resistance to Israeli policies. The triangular relationship between Iran, Syria, and Hezbollah has become a formidable axis in the region. This alliance is based on mutual ideological and strategic interests, particularly the shared goal of resisting Israel and Western influence in the Middle East. Syria has not only acted as a conduit for Iranian support but has also played an active military role, particularly during the 2006 Lebanon War, when Syrian officials were believed to have provided both logistical and military support to Hezbollah.

The involvement of Iran and Syria in supporting Hezbollah has significantly complicated Lebanon-Israel relations. Hezbollah's military strength, largely funded and supplied by these two countries, presents a direct threat to Israel's security, particularly in the northern border region. Hezbollah's ideology, which does not recognize the legitimacy of the Israeli state, has ensured that Lebanon remains entangled in the broader Arab-Israeli conflict (Davis, 2020). The 2006 Lebanon War was a critical moment in which the roles of Iran and Syria were clearly visible. Hezbollah's sophisticated missile capabilities, including long-range rockets that targeted Israeli cities, were believed to be supplied by Iran through Syrian territory. The war highlighted the proxy nature of the conflict, with Hezbollah acting as an extension of Iranian and Syrian interests in the struggle against Israel. Despite heavy Israeli bombardment, Hezbollah managed to survive and continue its operations, bolstered by its Iranian and Syrian allies. Iran's and Syria's ongoing military support to Hezbollah provides the group with a powerful deterrent against Israeli military actions. Hezbollah's growing stockpile of advanced weaponry, including drones, surface-to-surface missiles, and anti-aircraft systems, is seen as a significant challenge for Israeli defense systems, particularly its Iron Dome missile defense system. The threat of Hezbollah launching large-scale military operations from southern Lebanon has kept Israel on high alert, preventing any major peace breakthrough with Lebanon.

Syria's role in supporting Hezbollah also extends beyond Lebanon. The Syrian Civil War (2011-2024) has provided Hezbollah with an opportunity to expand its influence and military operations in the broader Syrian theater. Hezbollah has played a key role in fighting alongside Syrian President Bashar al-Assad's regime, which has been supported by both Iran and Syria. In return for its support in Syria, Hezbollah has received military training, battle experience, and advanced weaponry from both Iran and Syria (Ayney, 2018; Al-Kassim, 2019). The conflict in Syria has also highlighted the growing strategic importance of the Iran-Syria-Hezbollah alliance. Syria has provided a land bridge for Iranian support to Hezbollah, enabling the movement to expand its capabilities and bolster its resistance against Israel. The Syrian conflict has further entrenched the ties between the three actors, with Hezbollah emerging as a critical force in the region's evolving geopolitics.

According to (Khalaf, 2022), the involvement of Iran and Syria in supporting Hezbollah has broad implications for the wider Middle East. It has created a regional axis of resistance to Israel and Western influence, challenging efforts to achieve a broader peace settlement in the region. The support of Iran and Syria for Hezbollah has also contributed to the polarization of Middle Eastern geopolitics, particularly as Sunni Arab states view the growing influence of Iran and its proxies with growing concern. In addition to the regional ramifications, the support of Hezbollah by Iran and Syria has had significant consequences for international diplomacy. Hezbollah's designation as a terrorist organization by the United States and the European Union, among others, reflects the complex nature of international relations in the Middle East. The U.S. and its allies have placed pressure on both Iran and Syria to cease their support for Hezbollah, while at the same time navigating the broader strategic importance of both countries in the context of regional stability.

Key Factors Shaping the Prospects for Peace in the Middle East

One of the most significant obstacles to peace in the Middle East is the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. The two-state solution, which envisions an independent Palestine alongside Israel, remains a key point of discussion. However, key issues, such as the status of Jerusalem, borders, refugees, and security, continue to hinder negotiations. While there are factions in both Israel and Palestine that support a two-state solution, others on both sides oppose it. Shifts in Palestinian leadership and Israeli political dynamics (including the influence of right-wing parties) complicate efforts for peace. Additionally, Israel's growing normalization of relations with Arab countries, such as through the Abraham Accords (UAE, Bahrain, Sudan, and Morocco), has altered the regional landscape. While these agreements show potential for peace in the broader region, they also shift the focus away from Palestinian concerns, which can both complicate and, paradoxically, provide opportunities for a more inclusive peace framework if regional actors align to support Palestinian statehood. Israeli settlement expansion in the West Bank and the ongoing occupation of Palestinian territories are central issues. Efforts to halt settlement growth or to negotiate land swaps as part of a peace deal remain contentious, as settlements continue to deepen mistrust between Israelis and Palestinians.

Iran plays a pivotal role in the Middle East, especially through its support of non-state actors like Hezbollah in Lebanon and various militant groups in Syria, Iraq, and Yemen. Iran's rivalry with Sunni Arab states and its nuclear ambitions have exacerbated tensions in the region (Makinde, 2018; Morden, 2000). A potential thaw in relations between Iran and the West could shift the region toward stability, but many countries, including Israel, view Iran as a direct threat. Saudi Arabia, a leading Arab power, has taken steps to engage with Israel, particularly through the Abraham Accords. Saudi Arabia has been involved in regional conflicts (e.g., Yemen) and faces its own internal pressures. The shifting balance of power in the Gulf, including potential for a new security architecture, offers hope for regional cooperation but also poses challenges given the historic Sunni-Shia divide, tensions with Iran, and concerns about the influence of outside powers like the U.S. Turkey, a NATO member, has been increasingly assertive in its foreign policy, seeking to expand its influence in Syria, Libya, and the Eastern Mediterranean. Its relations with both Israel and Arab states are fluid, and its involvement in various conflicts adds complexity to the region's geopolitics. Turkish diplomacy could be a crucial factor in mediating peace, but its interests may not always align with those of other regional actors. The Syrian civil war, which began in 2011, has had devastating effects on the region, creating a power vacuum that has allowed extremist groups to flourish and exacerbating regional rivalries. The war's aftermath, including ongoing conflict and the presence of foreign military forces, complicates the prospects for peace in the broader region. Efforts to rebuild Syria, particularly with the return of refugees and the stabilization of the country, remain critical for regional peace, but these processes are contingent on the resolution of Syrian political issues and the long-term presence of foreign powers. If Syria's political situation stabilizes, there may be opportunities for its reintegration into the Arab League and for cooperation on issues like counter and terrorism, refugee resettlement, and economic development. However, the Assad regime's human rights record and its ties to Iran complicate broader Arab-Israeli or regional rapprochement.

Extremist groups like ISIS and Al-Qaeda, as well as local affiliates, continue to pose threats to stability in the region. These groups thrive in areas with weak governance and fragmented political landscapes (Fisk, 2016; Ayney, 2019). Regional peace efforts will need to address terrorism by focusing on governance, socioeconomic development, and countering ideological extremism. Hezbollah in Lebanon and other non-state actors, such as Hamas in Gaza, continue to influence regional security dynamics. These groups challenge the legitimacy of state actors and further complicate peace negotiations. Efforts to neutralize these groups through disarmament or integration into political processes would require significant regional cooperation. Many Middle Eastern countries are struggling with economic crises, including high unemployment, inflation, and poverty (International Crisis Group, 2019). A more prosperous region with economic cooperation could foster peace by creating interdependencies among states. Economic partnerships in areas like energy, trade, and technology could ease tensions and provide incentives for collaboration. The Middle East is rich in energy resources, and competition over oil, gas, and water resources has long been a source of tension. Additionally, climate change presents a growing challenge, particularly in arid regions, where water scarcity and environmental degradation could exacerbate existing conflicts. Regional agreements on energy-sharing, climate resilience, and sustainable development could open avenues for cooperation. The U.S. has historically played a major role in mediating peace efforts in the Middle East, including through peace agreements like the Camp David Accords (1978) and the Oslo Accords (1993). However, American influence has been waning in recent years, especially with the withdrawal from Iraq, the chaotic retreat from Afghanistan, and changing priorities under different administrations. The U.S. can still play a role in facilitating peace but must recalibrate its strategy to address the changing dynamics of the region. The UN has been involved in peacekeeping operations in Lebanon and other conflict zones in the region. Regional organizations such as the Arab League have historically struggled to find consensus on key issues, but they could play a more active role in fostering peace through dialogue, mediation, and the promotion of regional security frameworks. A more united Arab position on peace with Israel could create broader support for a two-state solution.

Challenges to Peace on the Growing escalation of the Arab-Israeli conflict in Lebanon

The Arab-Israeli conflict, especially as it pertains to Lebanon, presents a range of complex challenges that impede the prospects for peace. The situation in Lebanon is influenced by both local dynamics and the broader geopolitical environment, making it an intricate problem to resolve. Several key challenges persist in the face of efforts for peace and stability in the region.

Hezbollah, a powerful Shiite militant group based in Lebanon, has significant political and military influence, especially in southern Lebanon and the border region with Israel. Hezbollah's dual identity as both a political party and an armed militia complicates peace efforts, as its military wing operates independently of the Lebanese state and has engaged in direct confrontations with Israel. Hezbollah receives financial, military, and political support from Iran, which creates a regional power struggle (Al-Kassim, 2019). Iran's support strengthens Hezbollah's military capabilities, further complicating the situation and presenting a major barrier to peace with Israel. This influence also ties Lebanon's internal conflict to wider regional tensions, including the rivalry between Iran and Israel. Lebanon's political system is based on a sectarian balance of power, with positions of power allocated according to religious affiliation (Masonite Christians, Sunni Muslims, Shiite Muslims, etc.). This system, while designed to promote power-sharing, has fostered deep divisions, weakening the central government and making it difficult for Lebanon to present a unified stance in negotiations with Israel or to effectively manage internal conflicts. The sectarian nature of Lebanon's government means that different factions often have conflicting interests, including varying positions on Israel and Hezbollah's role. This makes it difficult to reach a national consensus on disarming Hezbollah or pursuing peace talks with Israel.

Lebanon hosts a large population of Palestinian refugees who have lived in camps since the Arab-Israeli wars of the 1940s and 1960s. These refugees often live in precarious conditions and are excluded from many aspects of Lebanese society. Their presence is a source of tension, both within Lebanon and in the broader Israeli-Palestinian conflict (Gordon, 2018). Some Palestinian militant groups, particularly those based in refugee camps in Lebanon, continue to engage in armed resistance against Israel, complicating the security situation in Lebanon. The presence of such groups creates an additional layer of instability, as Israel perceives these groups as direct threats, leading to further military action and escalating violence. From Israel's perspective, Hezbollah represents a significant threat due to its military capabilities and close ties with Iran. Israel has repeatedly stated that it will not allow Hezbollah to gain access to advanced weaponry, especially missiles that could target Israeli cities. The ongoing arms race and military preparedness of both sides contribute to the likelihood of conflict, rather than cooperation. While Lebanon's direct territorial disputes with Israel are less prominent than those of Palestine, Lebanon still shares unresolved border issues with Israel, such as the dispute over the Shebaa Farms. Israel's security concerns regarding Hezbollah and other militant groups along the southern border of Lebanon make it difficult for peace talks to progress.

Lebanon, like many other countries in the Middle East, is caught in the broader regional rivalry between Iran and Saudi Arabia. Hezbollah, backed by Iran, is opposed by Sunni Arab states, including Saudi Arabia, which has historically been aligned with the U.S. and Israel. This creates external pressures on Lebanon that hinder peace efforts (Makinde, 2018). Syria has had a significant influence on Lebanon's politics and security, particularly through the presence of Syrian forces in Lebanon for decades. The Syrian civil war, however, has shifted the dynamics, and Syria's weakened position has created a power vacuum that Hezbollah has filled. Syria's position on the Israeli-Palestinian conflict and its role in Lebanon complicate the prospects for peace, as Syrian interests are not always aligned with Lebanon's best interests. International powers such as the United States, Russia, and the European Union all have differing views on how best to address the Arab-Israeli conflict and the Lebanese situation. The U.S. tends to support Israel's right to self-defense and has close relations with Saudi Arabia, while Russia has taken a more neutral stance in recent years, seeking to maintain relations with both Israel and Syria. The European Union has pushed for a two-state solution to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict but has been less involved in direct interventions in Lebanon. The international community has not consistently supported peace efforts in Lebanon and the broader Middle East. Past peace initiatives have been sporadic and lack the political will from key stakeholders to enforce and implement agreements, undermining efforts for lasting peace.

Both Lebanon and Israel have long histories of violence, with deep-seated animosities dating back to the Arab-Israeli wars and the Lebanese Civil War (1975-1990). These historical grievances and cycles of violence contribute to a mistrust between the two sides and make it difficult for peace to take root. Military skirmishes along the border, like the 2006 Lebanon War, have left a legacy of resentment and fear, hindering the potential for reconciliation. Extremist groups, including Hezbollah and radical Palestinian factions, perpetuate violence in the region. Acts of terrorism and military attacks further exacerbate tensions, undermining any potential for dialogue or peace initiatives. The ongoing radicalization of youth, particularly in Palestinian refugee camps and parts of southern Lebanon, continues to fuel the conflict. Lebanon is currently grappling with severe economic and political crises, exacerbated by hyperinflation, corruption, and a lack of functional governance (Davis, 2020; Khalaf, 2022). The country's financial meltdown, compounded by the 2020 Beirut port explosion, has left the Lebanese population deeply divided and politically unstable. This internal instability makes it difficult for Lebanon to engage effectively in peace negotiations, as the government is too weak to assert control over key factions like Hezbollah or manage the broader demands of peace talks. The civil war in Syria has had direct consequences for Lebanon, including the influx of millions of Syrian refugees into the country. This has placed additional strain on Lebanon's resources and has heightened social tensions, particularly between different Lebanese sects and between Lebanese citizens and refugees. The instability from Syria, coupled with Lebanon's own domestic challenges, prevents the country from fully focusing on peace-building with Israel.

Conclusion

The escalation of the Arab-Israeli conflict in Lebanon highlights the complexities of regional security and the deep-rooted historical and political divisions that fuel ongoing hostilities. Lebanon, due to its strategic location and internal sectarian composition, remains a critical flashpoint in the broader Arab-Israeli conflict. The presence of Hezbollah and the unresolved Palestinian refugee issue, combined with Israeli security concerns, perpetuate cycles of violence that hinder stability in the region. While there have been significant international efforts to mediate peace, such as UN peacekeeping missions and regional dialogues, achieving a lasting resolution remains challenging due to the diverse interests of local and external actors.

Key Opportunities for Peace in the Context of Lebanon and the Middle East

- i. Involvement of regional players like Egypt, Jordan, Saudi Arabia, and Qatar is crucial. These nations have historically supported peace efforts (e.g., the Camp David Accords) and may play an instrumental role in mediating peace talks. Additionally, Arab countries have increasingly sought to normalize relations with Israel (e.g., the Abraham Accords), which can provide momentum for peace if Lebanon and other countries follow suit. The United States, the United Nations, and the European Union have important roles to play in facilitating dialogue. International peacekeeping forces, such as UNIFIL (United Nations Interim Force in Lebanon), can continue to monitor and stabilize border regions, reducing the chances of conflict between Hezbollah and Israel.
- ii. At the core of the Arab-Israeli conflict lies the Palestinian issue. A solution that acknowledges Palestinian self-determination, addressing the rights of refugees, and creating a viable Palestinian state could reduce tensions. Initiatives such as the two-state solution have been discussed for decades and could serve as a pathway to addressing the core grievances of both Israelis and Palestinians. One of the ongoing flashpoints in Lebanon is Hezbollah's influence and its role as a state-within-a-state. Efforts to reintegrate Hezbollah into the Lebanese political system without its military arm being an independent force could contribute to long-term stability. This would require careful negotiations and the disarming of militias.
- iii. Israel and Lebanon have shared interests in curbing the influence of groups like Hezbollah, which receives support from Iran. Joint security initiatives focusing on counterterrorism, border security, and humanitarian cooperation could reduce hostilities and foster trust between the countries. The creation of demilitarized zones along key borders (especially between Israel and Lebanon) could help reduce direct military confrontations. These zones would be monitored by international peacekeepers or neutral forces to ensure their effectiveness.
- iv. Israel and Lebanon, as well as neighboring countries, could benefit from cooperative economic arrangements, such as trade agreements, joint ventures in energy (e.g., gas exploration in the Mediterranean), and infrastructure development. Economic interdependence can create a vested interest in peace. Addressing the economic plight of Palestinian refugees, Lebanese civilians, and other marginalized communities through international aid and development programs can reduce extremism and foster goodwill. This can also ease the refugee crisis, which remains a source of contention between Lebanon, Israel, and the broader Arab world.
- v. The involvement of youth and civil society groups in both Lebanon and Israel in peacebuilding efforts is crucial. Initiatives that foster cross-border dialogue, interfaith collaboration, and peace education can help bridge the divide. By investing in the next generation, these efforts aim to change the narrative from one of hatred and violence to one of cooperation and mutual understanding. Informal dialogues and backchannel negotiations between Israeli and Lebanese officials, as well as representatives from other Arab states, can create a safer space for candid discussions. These efforts can complement official diplomatic channels and reduce the risk of failure in high-stakes talks.

References

- Al-Kassim, M. (2019, June 9). Signs of a breakthrough on border: Dispute talked between Israel and Lebanon. *Jerusalem Post*. <https://www.jpost.com/Arab-Israeli-Conflict/Signs-of-a-Breakthrough-on-Border-dispute-Talks-between-Israel-and-Lebanon-591947>
- Avney, Y. (2018). *The prime ministers: An intimate narrative of Israeli leadership* (Hebrew text with title in English) (p. 333). Jerusalem, Israel: Tobi.
- Barak, O. (2010). Ambiguity and conflict in Israeli-Lebanese relations. *Israel Studies*, 15(3), 165–182.
- Bickerton, I. J., & Klausner, C. L. (2007). *A history of the Arab-Israeli conflict* (5th ed.). Pearson Education.
- Davis, M. (2020, September 1). The 2020 Lebanese crisis and its impact on the Arab-Israeli conflict. *Al Jazeera*.
- Elis, C. K. (1999). Lebanon: The struggle of a small country in a regional context. *Arab Studies Quarterly*, 21(1), 6.
- Fisk, R. (2016, June 23). The relationship between Israel and Lebanon is deteriorating again—and it's civilians who will suffer. *The Independent*. <https://www.independent.co.uk/voices/the-relationship-between-israel-and-lebanon-is->
- Gordon, M. R. (2018, April 6). Lebanon's role in the Arab-Israeli conflict. *The New York Times*.
- Hani, K. (1983). Why Israel's economy needs Lebanon. *Journal of Palestine Studies*, 12(3), 197.
- Heller, J. (2010). *Israel and the cold war: From the war of independence to the six-day war* (Hebrew text with title in English) (p. 262). Beersheba, Israel: Ben-Gurion Research Institute for the Study of Israel and Zionism, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev.

- International Crisis Group. (2019). *Lebanon's Hezbollah and the regional crisis: Avoiding a new war* (Middle East Report No. 195).
- Khalaf, R. (2022, January 15). Prospects for peace: Can Lebanon be part of the solution? *The Financial Times*.
- Makinde, A. (2018, August 3). 'Greater Israel' and the Balkanization of the Middle East: Oded Yinon's "strategy for Israel." *Astute News*. <https://astutenews.com/2018/08/03/greater-israel-and-the-balkanization-of-the-middle-east-oded-yinons-strategy-for-israel/>
- Maroun, F. (2016, September 18). Lebanon's hatred of Israel: A symptom of dysfunction. *Gatestone Institute International Policy Council*. <https://www.gatestoneinstitute.org/8952/Lebanon-israel>
- McCullum, F. (2007). The political role of the patriarch in the contemporary Middle East. *Middle Eastern Studies*, 43(6), 926, 928–929, 933–934.
- Murden, S. (2000). Understanding Israel's long conflict in Lebanon: The search for an alternative approach to security during the peace process. *British Journal of Middle East Studies*, 27(1), 36.
- Nakhleh, K. (1982). The invasion of Lebanon and Israel's imperial strategy. *Arab Studies Quarterly*, 4(4), 327.
- The Brookings Institution. (2018). *The Middle East peace process: Time for new thinking?* Brookings Policy Paper.